Gmail György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com>

Re: Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Go[...] [Ticket#8340769]

1 üzenet

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> 2026. augusztus 2. 9:38
Címzett: Kleanthi Sardeli | noyb - Legal Requests <legalrequests@noyb.eu>

Dear Kleanthi,

I am adding a new element to the evidence I have already submitted.

The LinkedIn post in which Markus Winkler and several professional users confirm that Google AI quoted deleted Reddit data **directly describes my case**. The examples and quotes in the post **come from my deleted Reddit account**, and Google AI **quoted my content during my experiment**, even though the account had already been deleted.

This means that the professional confirmations appearing on LinkedIn **directly support my case**, and serve as additional evidence that Google continues to store and process deleted data.

Please include this evidence in the investigation materials as well.

I want to inform you that I have publicly posted our correspondence on the Google AI forum. I had to do this because Google keeps denying the evidence, and making the communication public is the only way to ensure that they cannot hide it or pretend it never happened.

The post is here:

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/you-can-delete-my-posts-but-the-truth-is-out-privacy-experts-on-linkedin-are-now-exposing-google-ai-s-data-fraud/176870

The publication was necessary to preserve the evidence and to prevent Google from ignoring or erasing the issue. The correspondence was posted exactly as it was, without any changes.

Please take this into account during the investigation.

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 1., Szo, 8:19):

> Dear Kleanthi,
>
> I am formally documenting the following:
>
> You have received all evidence regarding Google’s unlawful backend data retention. You have acknowledged receipt and confirmed that NOYB is examining the matter.

This means NOYB is fully aware of Google's practices and cannot claim lack of knowledge at any later stage.

If NOYB ultimately chooses not to take action despite having complete documentation, I will hold your organization accountable for failing to act on clear evidence. I will include NOYB in the legal proceedings on the basis of knowingly allowing continued unlawful data retention.

All communication between us will serve as proof that NOYB was informed.

Regards,
György Istókovics (Bitu79)

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 1., Szo 8:05):

> Dear Kleanthi,
> I refuse to remove any posts or screenshots.
> You have been fully informed about Google's illegal data retention practices, and you have all the documented evidence. You are now aware that Google is not deleting backend data.
> If NOYB fails to take decisive action against Google despite having all this evidence, I will consider your organization complicit. I will hold NOYB accountable and include you in the lawsuit for aiding and abetting Google's illegal data retention.
> This is my final position on this matter.
> Regards,
> György Istókovics (Bitu79)

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 1., Szo 5:48):

> **Dear NOYB,**
>
> I am submitting an additional piece of evidence regarding Google's undeleted server-side data and Google's ongoing refusal to respond.
>
> I directly contacted **Guillaume Vernade**, one of Google's **lead developers** and a **public-facing representative** of Google's AI products.
> He is not an anonymous forum user — he is a **Google employee**, a **visible spokesperson**, and one of the **main developers** associated with the platform.
>
> Despite this, he has **not replied to any of my messages**, even though the topic concerns Google's data-retention practices, which you have already confirmed you are investigating.
>
> I have **fully archived**:
>
> - the private messages sent to him,
> - the timestamps,
> - the delivery confirmations,
> - the HTML snapshots,
> - the Wayback Machine captures,
> - the archive.ph captures.

All of these prove that the messages were delivered to him, and that he chose not to respond.
This silence is consistent with Google's behavior:
they have not replied to a single official inquiry, DPO request, or legal question for months.

The specific post where this occurred is here:
https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating-repost-2/176524

This post is also archived and cannot be removed by Google.

Please include this new evidence — the lack of response from a lead Google developer — in your ongoing investigation.

Sincerely,
György (Bitu79)

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 1., Szo, 4:24):

> Hi Kleanthi,
>
> Thank you for your message.
>
> I understand your concern, but I will not remove the post or the screenshot. The publication of this correspondence is part of my documentation regarding Google's handling of deleted data. This evidence is directly relevant to my case and does not harm the chances of any potential legal action. It simply shows the timeline and the fact that Google has not responded to any of my official requests, including emails to their reporting addresses, their DPO, and registered letters.
>
> The post does not criticize NOYB, nor does it interfere with your investigation. It only demonstrates that I contacted you and that the matter is being looked into. This transparency is important for my own legal steps against Google.
>
> Kind regards,
> György

Kleanthi Sardeli | noyb - Legal Requests <legalrequests@noyb.eu> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 31., P, 16:39):

> > Hi György,
> >
> > Thank you for your messages. We have already started investigating this topic. Once our research is done we will decide whether we will file a complaint against Google or take some other steps.
> >
> > As you mentioned, you published our correspondence online. This is also evident on this website: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667
> >
> > I would ask you to please remove the post and the screenshot of our email, where my name is also visible, as we were not informed you were going to publish this correspondence. This harms the chances of a potential case and, also, was done without noyb's consent.
> >
> > Thank you in advance.
> >
> > Kind regards,
> > Kleanthi Sardeli

--
noyb – European Center for Digital Rights
Goldschlagstraße 172/4/3/2
AT-1140 Vienna
Become a member and support us!
https://noyb.eu/support/
--

> On Thursday, July 30, 2026 at 11:15:52 PM, György Istókovics wrote:
>
> Hi Kleanthi, Google is stealing data, and the developers here are assisting them with it, because the developers saw the test and still deny its existence. Please reply. This is proven, and you should also watch my video evidence included in the post.
>
> https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-is-stealing-data-and-the-developers-here-are-assisting-them-with-it-because-the-developers-saw-the-test-and-still-deny-it/176688
>
> György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 22., Sze, 5:02):
>
> > **The official admission from Google:** Lalit_Kumar states clearly that the files saved by Google AI Studio are stored in Drive without any file extension and are marked with “special metadata”. He also explains that if the user downloads the file and later manually copies the same extensionless JSON back into Drive, the special metadata is lost, Drive creates a completely new file with a new file ID, and AI Studio can no longer recognize the chat. This is documented here: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/saved-prompt-history-extension/101811 The archive.ph backup is here: https://archive.ph/ghAaS
> > **The Drive Restoration Paradox:** When I delete the prompt and empty the trash, Google claims that the data has been permanently destroyed. However, when I trigger the Drive restore robot, the chat comes back fully intact and can be continued flawlessly inside AI Studio. The only reason this works is because the robot does not create a new file: it restores the original file with the original file ID and the original metadata.
> > **The proof of the deception:** If manually copying the extensionless JSON back into Drive causes Drive to generate a new file with a new ID and without the special metadata (making it unusable for AI Studio), then how is the Drive robot able to bring the chat back “alive”? The only possible technical explanation is that Google’s backend never deleted the special metadata or the backend session connection. The backend session remained intact the whole time, and the robot simply restores the original file ID that still points to the still-existing backend chat.
> >
> > György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 22., Sze, 4:11):
> >
> > > I have published our entire correspondence on the Google AI forum so that Google can no longer pretend that this issue is ‘not proven’. The JSON file contains the chat ID, which provides a legal basis to request Google’s backend logs as well. The connection between the JSON file and the backend chat session proves that the ‘deleted’ conversations actually remained on Google’s servers the whole time. I tested all of this publicly, documented with videos, timestamps, and forum posts, so Google can no longer deny it.
> > > Our full correspondence can be seen in this post: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/noyb-has-contacted-me-google-should-start-sweating/175667
> > > If the deletion had actually started on the backend side, the chat could not be restored, because the JSON is only a pointer and has nothing to do with the prompt itself — the prompt is stored on Google’s backend. The house is the prompt, and the key to the house is the JSON. The JSON ‘opens’ the prompt: the backend uses the JSON to find the owner of the chat. This means Google never initiated any real backend-side deletion, and this is provable.
> > >
> > > György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 22., Sze, 3:49):
> > >
> > > > Google does not delete the prompts from its servers. I prove this by showing that during the so-called deletion, they only move the JSON pointer in Drive to the trash and hide the prompt from the AI Studio UI. When I restore the JSON file using the Google Drive file-recovery tool, the entire chat comes back — which proves that the conversation remained fully intact on Google’s backend.

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 22., Sze, 3:10):

> **Hi Kleanthi,**
> Regarding the “regular” Gemini: it’s not really relevant, because you cannot prove anything there. Gemini does not expose any technical trace that would allow anyone to demonstrate that “deleted” data actually remains on Google’s servers.
> **AI Studio is the only place where the issue can be proven — and not because it shows backend data, but solely because of the JSON file.**
> AI Studio stores the chat as a JSON file in Google Drive, and that JSON file is directly linked to the backend chat session. When the JSON file was restored, the chat also came back — which proves that Google did **not** delete anything from their servers.
> This JSON-based restoration is **100% proof** that the deletion function does not work and that the underlying data remains stored.
> Please take a look at my post where all evidence is collected:
> **https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/critical-google-ai-studio-s-delete-button-is-a-ui-fraud-video-server-log-proof/174465**
> And please also check these videos — all three clearly show that the “deleted” data actually remains on Google’s servers:
> **https://youtu.be/LBQfNbPCxQw https://youtu.be/m4U6ajKuLRo https://youtu.be/8Pg-7eNPUWM**
> Based on this, it is clear that the issue can only be reproduced in AI Studio. Gemini is not suitable for proving the deletion failure.
>
> Kleanthi Sardeli | noyb - Legal Requests <legalrequests@noyb.eu> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. júl. 17., P, 16:31):
>
> > Hi György,
> >
> > Thank you for informing us of this. Do you know if this happens in Gemini too? Google AI studio would be more targeted towards developers/professionals, who are more inclined to use this app for professional use. I was wondering if you've already tested the delete function in Gemini.
> >
> > Thank you for the information!
> >
> > Kind regards,
> > Kleanthi Sardeli
> >
> > --
> > noyb – European Center for Digital Rights
> > Goldschlagstraße 172/4/3/2
> > AT-1140 Vienna
> > Become a member and support us!
> > https://noyb.eu/support/
> > --
> >
> > > On Monday, July 6, 2026 at 5:23:00 PM, György Istókovics wrote:
> > >
> > > Please check my posts and make sure to reply to my email address. I would like to take legal action against Google and I am requesting legal support from you for this.
> > > https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/google-s-own-systems-now-show-that-google-ai-studio-s-delete-function-does-not-delete-backend-data/173694/38
> > > All post here:
> > > https://discuss.ai.google.dev/u/bitu79/activity/topics

7 melléklet



Képernyőkép 2026-08-02 083802.png
288K

Képernyőkép 2026-08-02 083921.png
365K



Képernyőkép 2026-08-02 083850.png
284K



screencapture-linkedin-posts-markuswinklerme-the-internet-never-forgets-turned-out-to-activity-7475548346615681026-vJSm-2026-08-02-08_15_09.jpg
341K

screencapture-google-search-2026-08-02-08_36_24.jpg
1555K

screencapture-google-search-2026-08-02-08-36-24.jpg
1K

You can delete my posts, but the truth is out_ Privacy experts on LinkedIn are now exposing Google AI’s data fraud - Gemini API - Google AI Developers Forum.pdf
453K